UNITED ST	TATES DIST	TRICT CO	OURT		
	_ District of		NEBRA	SKA	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA					
<b>V.</b>	OF	EDER OF DE	TENTION :	PENDING	G TRIAL
RUBEN BEDOLLA-ZAVALA	Case Number: 4:06CR3117				
Defendant	4000				
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.			I conclude that t	he following	facts require the
P (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described	art I—Findings of	Fact			
or local offense that would have been a federal offer	nse if a circumstance g	y(1) and has been diving rise to federa	onvicted of a	☐ federal of	fense  state
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31	l 56(a)(4).		J	, extisted ti	10113
<ul><li>an offense for which the maximum sentence is l</li><li>an offense for which a maximum term of impris</li></ul>	ife imprisonment or de	eath.	4 :		
				·····	*
a felony that was committed after the defendant	had been convicted of	f two or more prior	federal offenses	described in	18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or loca  (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed	il offenses.				
(3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed sine	ce the detendant w	nviction $\square$ rele	ing trial for a fed ase of the defend	eral, state or l	local offense.
for the offense described in finding (1).					
(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I	presumption that no co	ondition or combin	ation of conditio	n Fill regor	ably assure the
	Alternative Findings		outted this presu	nption.	Fice
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	t has committed an off	ense		E E	
for which a maximum term of imprisonment of t	ten years or more is pr	escribed in		<u>유</u> 22	<u> </u>
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).  (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estable	lished by finding 1 the				The man species
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estab the appearance of the defendant as required and the s	ashed by finding I that	it no condition or co tv.	ombination of coi	iditions will r	easonably assure
	Alternative Findings	•		ဥ ယ္	Mary Mary
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	ear.			_ ப	Marine Manual
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	er the safety of anothe	er person or the cor	nmunity.	ent to	W.
					<del></del>
		<u> </u>			
Part II—Writter	n Statement of Rea	sons for Detenti	on		
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted	ed at the hearing estab		ear and convinci	ng evidence	a prepon-
derance of the evidence that				Ü	
CE Detain	<u>e</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>	<del></del>	
Det. Warvel	hosping a	agreed	to det	entro	<del></del>
		<del></del>			
Part III—	Directions Regardir	ng Detention			
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney G	eneral or his designate	d representative for	confinement in a	corrections f	acility separate.
easonable opportunity for private consultation with defense c	ntences or being held	in custody pendin	g appeal. The d	efendant shal	l be afforded a
so vermient, the person in charge of the corrections facility sha	all deliver the defendar	nt to the United-St	ed States or on rates marshal for t	equest of an a	attorney for the
n connection with a court proceeding.		00		ne purpose or	an appearance
8-27-06	1 James	(d-/)	Leit.	<u> </u>	
Date		Signature of Tudic	**		
<del></del>	Davie	d L. Piester, U.S. Nume and Title of Ju	Aggistrate Judge		
	****	mic oj vu	aretur Officer		

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).